

## Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.608.161>

## Tube Adherence Test as a Screening Tool for Detection of Biofilm Formation among *Staphylococcus aureus*

K. Ram Mohan Reddy\*

Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Public Health and Center for Disease Control, 426/27, 33rd Cross, 18th Main, 4th T Block, Jayanagar, Bangalore -560 041, India

\*Corresponding author:

### ABSTRACT

#### Keywords

Biofilm,  
*Staphylococcus aureus*,  
Tube method,  
Specificity.

#### Article Info

Accepted:  
17 June 2017  
Available Online:  
10 August 2017

In view of large number of infections caused by biofilm forming organism, a reliable method for its detection is necessary. Highly accurate methods like Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) are available for detection of biofilm, but these are beyond the scope of majority of microbiology laboratories in developing nations like India. To test reliability of Tube Adherence test as a screening tool for detection of biofilm formation among *Staphylococcus aureus*. With universal safety precautions various clinical samples were collected from patients with indwelling medical device for more than 48 hours. Screening for biofilm detection was done using Tube adherence method and tissue culture plate method. Tube method was 97.5% sensitive and 100% specific for detection of biofilm formation. Tube method can be used as a general screening method for detection of biofilm producing bacteria in laboratories.

### Introduction

Biofilm production is an important factor in most of the nosocomial infections. The increased use of indwelling medical devices has had considerable impact on the role of *Staphylococci* in clinical medicine (Mathur *et al.*, 2006). *Staphylococci* are most often associated with chronic infections of implemented medical devices. *Staphylococci* are recognized as the most frequent causes of biofilm-associated infections (Robert *et al.*, 2014). This exceptional status among biofilm-associated pathogens is due to the fact that *Staphylococci* are frequent commensal bacteria on the human skin and mucous surfaces. Thus, *Staphylococci* are among the most likely germs to infect any medical

device that penetrates those surfaces, such as when being inserted during surgery.

The differentiation of *Staphylococci* with respect to its biofilm phenotype might help to elucidate the impact of *Staphylococci* in the diagnosis of infections related to biomedical devices and these observations may have utility in the prevention of device related infections. In infection by biofilm producing *Staphylococci*, the differentiation with respect to biofilm phenotype might help to modify the antibiotic therapy and to prevent infection related to biomedical devices. A suitable and reproducible method is necessary for screening of biofilm producers in any health

care setting (Oliveira *et al.*, 2010). In this study an attempt was made to test Tube adherence test as a screening tool for detection of biofilm formation among *Staphylococcus*.

### **Materials and Methods**

A total of 100 non-repetitive, clinical strains of *Staphylococcus* were isolated from various clinical samples of the indoor and outdoor patients of Vijayanagar Institute of Medical Sciences (VIMS), Bellary, India during one year period from January 2013 to December 2013.

With universal safety precautions various clinical samples such as urine, pus, blood, sputum, were collected from in-patients and out-patients, and were processed according to CLSI guidelines. Clinical samples like pus, blood, sputum, body fluids, corneal scrapping, and indwelling urinary catheter were collected from patients of both sexes admitted to various departments of VIMS.

Consent was taken from all patients after explaining them briefly about the study.

### **Inclusion criteria**

All isolates of *Staphylococcus spp* from patients hospitalized for more than 48 hours and positive for enzyme coagulase by tube coagulase test.

### **Exclusion criteria**

All *Staphylococcus spp* isolated from out-patients, with in-dwelling medical devices, but were negative for enzyme coagulase.

All out-patients without in-dwelling medical devices

Patients hospitalized for less than 48 hours.

### **Tube adherence test (Freeman *et al.*, 1989) (TM)**

The suspensions of the tested strains were incubated in glass tubes which contained Brain Heart Infusion Broth aerobically at a temperature of 35°C for a period of 2 days. Then, the supernatants were discarded and the glass tubes were stained with a 0.1% safranin, washed with distilled water 3 times and dried. A positive result was defined as the presence of a layer of the stained material which adhered to the inner wall of the tubes. The exclusive observation of a stained ring at the liquid-air interface was considered as negative. Experiments were done in triplicate for 3 times and read as absent, weak, moderate and strong.

### **Tissue Culture Plate Method (TCP)**

Isolates from fresh agar plates were inoculated in Brain Heart Infusion broths with 2% sucrose and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C in stationary condition and diluted 1 in 100 with fresh medium.

Individual wells of sterile, polystyrene, 96 wells-flat bottom tissue culture plates wells were filled with 0,2 ml of the diluted cultures and only broth served as control to check sterility and non-specific binding of media.

The tissue culture plates were incubated for 24 hours at room temperature. After incubation content of each well was gently removed by tapping the plate.

The wells were washed four times with 0.2mL of phosphate buffer saline (PBS pH 7.2) to remove free-floating 'planktonic' bacteria. Biofilms formed by adherent 'sessile' organism in plate were fixed with sodium acetate (2%) and stained with safranin (0.1%).

Excess stain was rinsed off by through washing with deionized water and plates were kept for drying. Adherent Staphylococcal cells usually formed biofilm on all side wells and were uniformly stained with safranine.

Optical densities (OD) of stained adherent bacteria were determined with an ELISA reader at wavelength of 570 nm. These OD values were considered as an index of bacteria adhering to surface and forming biofilms.

Experiment was performed in triplicate and repeated three times, the data was then averaged. To compensate for background absorbance, OD readings from sterile medium, fixative and dye were averaged and subtracted from all test values. The mean OD value obtained from media control well was deducted from all the test OD values.

Classification of bacterial adherence was based on OD values. When the mean OD values were <0.120 it was classified non/weak biofilm formation, OD<sub>570</sub> 0.120 – 0.240 was classified moderate biofilm formation, OD<sub>570</sub>> 0.240 was classified strong biofilm formation.

Experiments were performed in triplicate and were repeated 3 times, the data were then averaged. All tubes which showed layer of stained material ( safranine) to the inner walls of the tube, as seen in the tube on right of the

picture above, was considered positive for biofilm formation by Tube method. All tubes with stained ring only at the liquid-air interface and not staining inner walls of the tube, as seen in the tube on left of the picture above, was considered negative for biofilm formation by Tube method.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was done by considering the percentages and simple ratios.

**Results and Discussion**

Of the 100 staphylococcus samples collected, 57(57%) were *Staphylococcus aureus*. Out of the 57 isolates tested for biofilm by tube method, 07 (12.28%) were strongly positive. However maximum number of isolates 29 (50.88%) were moderately positive and 21 (36.84%) did not show any biofilm formation.

In the study by Fatima Khan *et al.*, (2011) done for the detection of biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus aureus* using Tube method out of 262 isolates, 35(13.36%) were strongly positive. Maximum isolates were moderately positive; 132(50.38%) and 95(36.26%) did not show any biofilm formation. Even in our study 12.28% of the *S.aureus* isolates were strongly positive similar to Mathur *et al.*, (2006) (11.8%) and slightly lower than by above authors (Table 1 and Fig. 1).

**Table.1** Detection of biofilm formation by *Staphylococcus aureus* using tube method

| Observation | Inference | <i>S.aureus</i> |            |
|-------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|
|             |           | Number          | Percentage |
| 3+          | Strong    | 07              | 12.28 %    |
| 2+          | Moderate  | 29              | 50.88 %    |
| 0/1         | Negative  | 21              | 36.84 %    |
| Total       |           | 57              | 100 %      |

Out of the 57 isolates tested for biofilm by tube method, 07 (12.28%) were strongly positive. However maximum number of isolates 29 (50.88%) were moderately positive and 21 (36.84%) did not show any biofilm formation.

**Table.2** Detection of biofilm formation by *Staphylococcus aureus* using tissue culture plate method

| Observation<br>OD <sub>570</sub> | Inference | <i>S.aureus</i> |            |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|
|                                  |           | Number          | Percentage |
| >0.240                           | Strong    | 08              | 14.04 %    |
| 0.120 – 0.240                    | Moderate  | 29              | 50.88 %    |
| <0.120                           | Negative  | 20              | 35.09 %    |
| Total                            |           | 57              | 100 %      |

In *S.aureus* by tissue culture plate method, 8 (14.04%) isolates were strongly positive for biofilm production, 29(50.88%) were moderate biofilm producers whereas 20(35.09%) were negative for biofilm formation

**Table.3** Comparison of results from TM and TCP tests on *S. aureus*, using TCP as the gold standard

| <i>S.aureus</i> | Tissue Culture Plate Method |          |       |       |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------|-------|-------|
|                 | Positive                    | Negative | Total | %     |
| Positive        | 36                          | 00       | 36    | 63.16 |
| Negative        | 01                          | 20       | 21    | 36.84 |
| Total           | 37                          | 20       | 57    | 100   |

Sensitivity – 97.30%

Specificity – 100%

Positive Predictive Value (PPV) – 100%

Negative Predictive Value (NPV) – 95.24%

Kappa – 0.96

When the results of TM method for biofilm formation was compared with TCP, it was found that the specificity and sensitivity was 100% and 97.30%.

**Fig.1** Tube method for detection of biofilm formation



In the study by Ammendolia *et al.*, (1999) who detected biofilm formation using Tissue Culture Plate method, a high percentage of

*S.aureus* strains (88.9%) were found to produce slime/biofilm as detected by plate test. In the study by Fatima *et al.*, (2011) of the

total of 262 isolates of *S.aureus*, 38(14.5%) were strongly positive, and 132(50.38%) were moderate positive.

The present study findings in *S.aureus*; 8 (14.04%) isolates were strongly positive for biofilm production is similar to Christensen *et al.*, (1982) but moderate biofilm producers of 29 (50.88%) was higher, Negative biofilm producers 20 (35.09%) were lower.

The present study findings were similar to the above authors. In the study by Fatima *et al.*, (2011) the comparison between tube method and tissue culture plate method showed a sensitivity of 95.78%, specificity of 99.49%, a PPV of 99.11%. and a NPV of 95.29% (Tables 2 and 3).

In the present study for the similar comparison between methods sensitivity was 97.30%, specificity of 100%, PPV of 100% and NPV of 95.24 %. Findings in our study were similar to the above studies.

In conclusion, as biofilm formation has an important role in pathogenicity of infections, its detection should be mandatory in a laboratory set up. Since tube method is more qualitative and reliable method to detect biofilm producing organism, it can be used as a general screening method for detection of biofilm producing bacteria in laboratories. TCP method is an accurate and reproducible screening method for biofilm production. All isolates can be tested by two methods, which will definitely improve the sensitivity for biofilm detection.

## References

- Ammendiola, M.G., Rosa, R.D., Montanaro, L.M., Aricola, C.R., Baldassarri, L. 1999. Slime production and expression of the slime associated antigens and expression of the slime associated antigens by Staphylococcal clinical isolates. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, 37(10): 3235-3238.
- Christensen, G.D., Bisno, A.L., Simpsom, W.A., Beachey, E.H. 1982. Adherence of slime producing strains of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* to smooth surfaces. *Infect Immun.*, 37: 318-326.
- Fatima, K., Indu, S., Meher, R., Tariq, M., Sharma, S.C. 2011. Detection of biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Does it have a role in treatment of MRSA infections? *Trends in Med. Res.*, 6(2): 116-123.
- Freeman, D.J., Falkner, F.R., Keane, C.T. 1989. New method for detecting slime production by coagulase-negative *Staphylococci*. *J. Clin. Pathol.*, 42: 872-874. doi:10.1136/jcp.42.8.872
- Mathur, T., Singhal, S., Khan, S., Upadhyay, D.J., Fatma, T., Rattan, A. 2006. Detection of biofilm formation among the clinical isolates of *Staphylococci*: an evaluation of three different screening methods. *Indian J. Med. Microbiol.*, 24(1): 25-9.
- Oliveira, A., Lourdes, M., Cunha, R.S. 2010. Comparison of methods for detection of biofilm production in coagulase-negative *Staphylococci*. *BMC Res Notes*, 3: 260.
- Robert, D.W., Kamila, O., Malgorzata, K., Danuta, I., Arkadiusz, D., Tomaz, M., Michal, K., Maria, M., Tomasz, J.W. 2014. Biofilm formation and Antimicrobial Susceptibility of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* Strains from a hospital Environment. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 11: 4619-4633.

### How to cite this article:

Ram Mohan Reddy, K. 2017. Tube Adherence Test as a Screening Tool for Detection of Biofilm Formation among *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 6(8): 1325-1329. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.608.161>